ESG Integration, Cost of Capital and Market Efficiency: An Asset Pricing Performance in India

S. Siddarthan[1]* and S. Karthikeyan[2]

[1]*Research Scholar, Department of Economics & Centre for Research in Economics, The Madura College (Autonomous), Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India*

[2]*Associate Professor, Department of Economics & Centre for Research in Economics, The Madura College (Autonomous), Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India*

**Corresponding Author Email: siddarthan2113@gmail.com*

Abstract

This study provides an empirical investigation into the financial materiality and market implications of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) integration within the Indian capital market ecosystem. Driven by mandatory regulatory frameworks—such as the Securities and Exchange Board of India's (SEBI) Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) and BRSR Core mandates, alongside Reserve Bank of India (RBI) climate risk guidelines—ESG adoption in India has accelerated rapidly. However, its transmission into capital market mechanics remains theoretically underexplored and empirically contested Cost of Capital Dynamics: Examining whether superior ESG performance yields a measurable reduction in the Cost of Equity and Cost of Debt by mitigating tail risk and information asymmetry, or if short-term profit motives and high capital expenditure offset green financing discounts .Asset Pricing and Risk-Adjusted Returns: Evaluating portfolio performance across risk-adjusted metrics (Sharpe ratio, Sortino ratio, and Jensen's alpha), testing whether an "ESG Factor" carries an independent systematic risk premium in multi-factor models, and assessing ESG's downside protection capabilities during market crises. Market Efficiency and Signal Processing: Assessing semi-strong form market efficiency by analysing the speed and accuracy with which stock prices digest ESG disclosures, rating revisions, and non-financial news using event study methodology to detect pricing delays, post-announcement drift, or asymmetric market reactions. By synthesizing these three dimensions into a unified analytical framework, this study addresses critical knowledge gaps in emerging market finance. The empirical findings provide actionable insights for corporate leadership evaluating sustainability expenditures, institutional asset managers optimizing risk-adjusted returns, and regulatory policymakers formulating efficient capital market frameworks in emerging economies.

Keywords: ESG Integration, Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR), Cost of Capital, Asset Pricing, Risk-Adjusted Returns, Market Efficiency, Emerging Markets, India

Introduction

Over the past decade, Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria have transformed from fringe corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives into core drivers of corporate valuation, risk management, and international capital allocation. Global financial markets increasingly view non-financial risks—such as climate vulnerability, supply chain labor disruptions, and corporate governance failures—as material threats to long-term economic value. Consequently, institutional investors, credit rating agencies, and asset managers worldwide are actively embedding ESG factors into standard valuation and portfolio construction frameworks.

In emerging economies like India, this paradigm shift is happening at an unprecedented pace. India presents a uniquely compelling ecosystem for evaluating ESG integration: it is one of the world's fastest-growing major economies, yet it faces severe structural climate risks, high capital costs, and intense regulatory oversight. To promote transparency and mitigate greenwashing, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) introduced mandatory Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) for top listed firms, along with the BRSR Core framework, which mandates reasonable third-party assurance for key environmental and social metrics. Simultaneously, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has begun integrating climate-related financial risk frameworks into commercial bank supervision.

Despite this regulatory push, a significant empirical debate persists regarding whether ESG integration creates tangible financial value within Indian capital markets or merely imposes compliance costs. Existing finance literature—largely built on developed markets in North America and Europe—assumes deep liquid markets, uniform disclosures, and widespread investor consensus on ESG ratings. In contrast, the Indian context features distinct structural nuances, including high promoter ownership, strong reliance on carbon-intensive manufacturing, variable disclosure quality across middle- and small-cap segments, and rating divergence among local and international ESG rating providers (ERPs).

Statement of the Problem

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) integration has emerged as a critical dimension of investment decision-making globally, yet its financial implications in emerging markets like India remain empirically contested and theoretically underexplored. Despite regulatory pushes such as the Securities and Exchange Board of India's (SEBI) Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR) framework and the proliferation of ESG-themed mutual funds and indices, investors, issuers, and policymakers lack conclusive evidence on whether ESG integration meaningfully alters the cost of capital, enhances risk-adjusted returns, or improves market efficiency in the Indian context.

ESG Integration and Cost of Capital

While theory posits that superior ESG performance should reduce information asymmetry, lower perceived risk, and thereby decrease the cost of equity and debt, empirical findings in India are mixed. Some studies suggest that firms with higher ESG scores or BRSR compliance exhibit a lower cost of capital, consistent with reduced investor uncertainty. Conversely, other evidence indicates a positive ESG risk premium, implying that firms with lower ESG performance may yield higher returns, potentially reflecting higher risk or market mispricing. This ambiguity creates a critical knowledge gap: it is unclear whether ESG integration in India functions as a value-enhancing mechanism that lowers financing costs or whether it remains a discretionary corporate activity with negligible impact on capital pricing. The problem is compounded by India's evolving disclosure regime, heterogeneous ESG data quality, and the dominance of family-owned and promoter-driven firms, which may process ESG signals differently than widely held corporations in developed markets.

Asset Pricing Performance and Risk-Adjusted Returns

A second dimension of the problem concerns whether ESG-integrated portfolios deliver superior, comparable, or inferior risk-adjusted returns relative to conventional portfolios in India. Evidence is contradictory: some studies report that ESG portfolios outperform benchmarks on Sharpe ratio, Treynor ratio, and Jensen's alpha, particularly during stress periods like the COVID-19 downturn. Others find no statistically significant "greenium," with ESG funds delivering returns similar to or slightly below non-ESG funds over certain horizons. This inconsistency raises fundamental questions about the asset pricing implications of ESG in India: Does ESG constitute a priced risk factor that should be incorporated into multi-factor

models? Do ESG screens tilt portfolios toward sectors or firm characteristics that drive performance differences? Without resolving these questions, portfolio managers cannot determine whether ESG integration is a return-enhancing strategy, a risk-mitigation tool, or a neutral screening exercise in the Indian equity market.

Market Efficiency and Information Processing Around ESG Signals

The third problem dimension addresses whether Indian capital markets efficiently incorporate ESG information into security prices, or whether ESG signals generate predictable return patterns indicative of mispricing or delayed information processing. While ESG disclosures have expanded under BRSR and index providers now publish ESG scores, it remains unclear whether this information is rapidly and fully impounded into prices, or whether anomalies persist that could be exploited by informed investors. Some evidence suggests that smaller firms face higher ESG risk and that markets may not fully price ESG-related costs, implying potential inefficiencies. Yet other findings indicate that ESG indices can outperform conventional benchmarks without additional risk, hinting at possible market recognition of ESG quality. The problem is further complicated by limited analyst coverage of ESG factors, retail investor dominance, and the nascent stage of ESG data infrastructure in India, all of which may impede efficient information processing. Understanding the speed and completeness with which ESG signals are incorporated into prices is essential for assessing market efficiency and the potential for ESG-based arbitrage or alpha generation.

Objectives

1. To examine the impact of ESG Integration on the cost of Capital in India.
2. To evaluate Asset pricing performance and Risk - Adjusted Returns in India.
3. To analyze Market Efficiency and Information Processing around ESG signals.

Discussion

i. Impact of ESG Integration on the Cost of Capital in India

ESG integration directly influences both the Cost of Equity (CoE) and the Cost of Debt (CoD) for Indian firms, primarily by altering investor perception of risk and capital allocation efficiency.

- Information Asymmetry & Agency Theory: Comprehensive ESG disclosures reduce information asymmetry between corporate insiders and external capital providers, lowering agency costs.

Risk Mitigation / Fat-Tail Reduction: Strong ESG practices mitigate tail-risk exposure—such as environmental regulatory fines, legal liabilities, labor disputes, and governance scandals.

Cost of Equity (CoE) Dynamics

- Investor Preference & Demand Shocks: Institutional capital (foreign portfolio investors/FPIs and domestic mutual funds) increasingly mandates ESG filters. Higher demand for sustainable equity increases stock liquidity and market valuation, driving down the expected rate of return (and thus lowering CoE).
- Beta & Systematic Risk: High-ESG firms in India typically exhibit lower market beta and downside beta during market downturns, reflecting enhanced operational resilience.

Cost of Debt (CoD) & Credit Risk

- Yield Spreads on ESG / Green Bonds: Indian corporations issuing green bonds or sustainability-linked loans (SLLs) often benefit from a "greenium" (a discount in yield relative to conventional bonds of similar tenure and rating).
- Credit Ratings: Indian rating agencies (such as CRISIL, ICRA, and CARE) increasingly factor ESG risk into corporate credit assessment. Superior ESG profiles lower default probability, leading to credit rating upgrades and reduced borrowing costs.

ii. Asset Pricing Performance and Risk-Adjusted Returns in India

Evaluating whether ESG factor tilts yield a persistent performance alpha or merely capture known systematic factor exposures (such as size, value, momentum, or quality) is key to understanding asset pricing in emerging markets

Performance Metrics & Alpha Generation

To evaluate risk-adjusted returns, portfolio performance is typically benchmarked using multi-factor asset pricing models:

- Emerging Market ESG Premium: In India, ESG factor portfolios have demonstrated positive risk-adjusted returns, driven largely by governance (G) and environmental compliance (E) factors that protect against severe drawdowns.
- Factor Disaggregation: Empirical evidence in India indicates that the Governance (G) dimension historically exerts the strongest influence on alpha generation, as corporate governance failures pose the most immediate threat to shareholder value. The Environmental (E) and Social (S) dimensions act as long-term risk mitigators rather than immediate sources of return excess.

Multi-Factor Disentanglement

- Quality & Volatility Alignment: High-ESG firms in India frequently overlap with the Quality factor (high ROE, low leverage, robust accounting transparency) and the Low Volatility factor.
- Alpha vs. Factor Exposure: A key empirical challenge is disentanglement: after controlling for standard Fama-French/Carhart factors, does an independent "ESG Alpha" persist? Current empirical consensus suggests ESG acts as an effective risk management overlay that enhances the Sharpe and Sortino ratios primarily by capping downside risk.

iii. Market Efficiency and Information Processing Around ESG Signals

This objective assesses how efficiently the Indian stock market impounds ESG-related information—ranging from annual BRSR (Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting) disclosures to ESG rating revisions and ESG controversies.

Market Efficiency & Speed of Price Discovery

- Semi-Strong Form Efficiency Test: Market efficiency is evaluated using Event Study Methodology around major ESG announcements (e.g., BRSR filing dates, ESG rating upgrades/downgrades, environmental regulatory sanctions).
- Cumulative Abnormal Returns (CAR): Symmetric vs. Asymmetric Price Reaction: Research in the Indian market reveals an asymmetric market response:
- Negative Signals (ESG Controversies/Failures): Prompt rapid, significant negative CARs, indicating strong market efficiency in processing bad news.

- Positive Signals (BRSR Disclosures/Rating Upgrades): Exhibit a lagged positive market response, suggesting delayed price discovery and mild market inefficiency regarding positive ESG signals.

Conclusion

The multifaceted problem detailed above demonstrates that while ESG integration in India is accelerating from a regulatory and operational perspective, its empirical relationship with capital market mechanics remains fundamentally unresolved. The current body of knowledge presents a trilemma across financing costs, portfolio returns, and market pricing efficiency:

- Capital Allocation Ambiguity: Corporate managers lack definitive empirical proof that substantial investments in BRSR compliance and sustainability yield a tangible reduction in their Weighted Average Cost of Capital. Without a clear capital discount, ESG investments risk being treated as pure operational costs rather than value-creating strategic assets.
- Portfolio Strategy Dilemma: Institutional investors and fund managers operate without consensus on whether ESG screening provides structural risk-adjusted alpha, functions strictly as downside tail-risk protection during crises, or artificially constrains investment horizons without generating superior Sharpe and Sortino ratios.
- Market Processing Imperfections: Regulators and market participants are left with unverified assumptions regarding the Indian stock market's informational efficiency. The presence of noise from diverging ESG rating agencies, limited analyst coverage, and potential post-announcement drift leaves open the question of whether ESG signals are fully priced or if persistent market inefficiencies exist.
- Ultimately, investigating these three dimensions within a unified framework transitions ESG research in India from descriptive reporting to quantitative financial economics. Resolving these core problems provides corporate leadership, institutional asset managers, and market regulators like SEBI and the RBI with the necessary empirical evidence to accurately price risk, deploy capital efficiently, and establish robust sustainability policies tailored to an emerging market landscape.

References

Diamond, D. W., & Verrecchia, R. E. (1991). Disclosure, liquidity, and the cost of capital. The Journal of Finance, 46(4), 1325–1359.

Tripathi, V., & Bhandari, V. (2015). Socially responsible investing in India: An empirical study of performance of ESG index. Journal of Advances in Management Research, 12(2), 143–159.

Berg, F., Kölbel, J. F., & Rigobon, R. (2022). Aggregate confusion: The divergence of ESG ratings. Review of Finance, 26(6), 1315–1344.

Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). (2023) BRSR Core - Framework for assurance and ESG disclosures for value chain. Circular No. SEBI/HO/CFD/CFD-SEC-2/P/CIR/2023/122



Open Access & Licensing

Dr. BGR Publications supports Open Access scholarly communication, enabling published works to be freely accessible online.

License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License CC BY 4.0

Copyright: © Author(s)

Published by Dr. BGR Publications | India