The Future of Ethical Commerce: Integrating Artificial Intelligence, Consumer Trust and Sustainable Business Practices

A. Stephen Jeyaraj

Head & Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Arul Anandar College, Karumathur, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

Email: asjeyaraj05@gmail.com

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping commerce through automated decision-making, personalised recommendations, intelligent customer service, demand forecasting, fraud detection and data-driven sustainability initiatives. At the same time, consumers increasingly evaluate businesses not only on price and convenience but also on transparency, privacy, fairness, environmental responsibility and social impact. This study examines the relationship among AI adoption, consumer trust and sustainable business practices within the emerging ethical-commerce framework. The study develops a conceptual model in which responsible AI practices—particularly transparency, explainability, privacy protection, fairness and human oversight—are expected to strengthen consumer trust, while sustainable business practices are expected to reinforce trust and ethical perceptions. A structured quantitative research design is proposed, using a questionnaire based on a five-point Likert scale. For demonstrating the analytical procedure in this manuscript, an illustrative dataset of 250 hypothetical consumer responses is used; these figures are not claimed as field-collected evidence and should be replaced by actual survey data before publication. Illustrative descriptive and inferential results indicate positive associations between responsible AI practices, consumer trust and perceived sustainability. The study argues that AI creates ethical value only when technological efficiency is combined with accountable governance and measurable sustainability commitments. The paper contributes an integrated framework linking technology, trust and sustainability and offers practical implications for businesses, regulators and researchers. It concludes that the future of ethical commerce depends on placing human values, consumer rights and long-term environmental and social responsibility at the centre of AI-enabled commercial decision-making.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Ethical Commerce; Consumer Trust; Sustainable Business Practices; Responsible AI; Consumer Behaviour

1. Introduction

The digital transformation of commerce has changed how businesses create value, interact with consumers and manage operations. Artificial Intelligence has become an important component of this transformation because it can process large volumes of information, identify patterns, automate routine activities and support complex decisions. Applications such as recommendation systems, chatbots, dynamic pricing, credit assessment, fraud detection, inventory optimisation and predictive analytics have become increasingly relevant to contemporary commerce.

The expansion of AI, however, also creates ethical questions. Decisions produced or supported by algorithms may be difficult for consumers to understand. Excessive data collection can create privacy concerns, biased models may produce unequal outcomes, and automated decisions can reduce opportunities for human review. Consequently, technological capability alone cannot define responsible digital commerce. Businesses must also consider transparency, accountability, fairness, privacy, security and human oversight.

Consumer trust is central to this transformation. Trust influences willingness to share information, adopt digital services, accept personalised recommendations and maintain relationships with firms. When consumers perceive that AI is used fairly and transparently, they may be more willing to engage with AI-enabled services. Conversely, unclear data practices, manipulative personalisation or unexplained decisions can weaken confidence.

Sustainability adds another dimension to ethical commerce. Sustainable business practices involve the responsible use of resources, reduction of environmental impacts, fair treatment of stakeholders and consideration of long-term social and economic consequences. AI can support sustainability through demand forecasting, route optimisation, energy management, waste reduction and supply-chain monitoring, but AI itself can also create environmental costs through energy consumption and hardware requirements. Therefore, ethical commerce requires a balanced approach in which technological innovation is evaluated alongside consumer welfare and sustainability.

Against this background, the present study investigates how AI, consumer trust and sustainable business practices can be integrated into a coherent model of ethical commerce. The study is particularly concerned with whether responsible AI practices can strengthen consumer trust and whether perceived sustainability contributes to positive ethical perceptions and behavioural intentions.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Artificial Intelligence in Commerce

AI in commerce refers to the use of computational systems capable of performing tasks associated with learning, prediction, classification, language processing and decision support. In retail and services, AI is commonly associated with recommendation engines, conversational agents, customer analytics, demand forecasting and fraud prevention. The commercial value of AI arises from its ability to improve operational efficiency and provide more personalised customer experiences.

However, responsible deployment requires attention to the quality of data, model governance, security and accountability. AI systems can reproduce weaknesses present in their training data or organisational processes. For ethical commerce, firms therefore need governance mechanisms that establish responsibility for system outcomes and provide avenues for human intervention.

2.2 Consumer Trust in Digital Commerce

Consumer trust is the expectation that a business will act reliably, honestly and in the consumer's interests within a relevant relationship. In digital commerce, trust is affected by perceived security, privacy, transparency, reliability and fairness. AI can improve trust when it delivers consistent and useful services, but it can also create uncertainty when consumers do not understand how decisions are produced.

Transparency is especially important in AI-enabled commerce. Consumers may not require technical explanations of algorithms, but they generally benefit from meaningful information about data use, important decision factors, available choices and channels for complaint or review.

2.3 Sustainable Business Practices

Sustainable business practices seek to balance economic performance with environmental and social responsibility. In commerce, these practices can include responsible sourcing, resource efficiency, waste reduction, sustainable packaging, energy management, fair employment practices and transparent reporting.

AI can contribute to sustainability by improving demand prediction, reducing overproduction, optimising logistics and identifying operational inefficiencies. At the same time, organisations should consider the energy, infrastructure and lifecycle impacts associated with AI systems. Sustainability should therefore be assessed across the complete business and technology lifecycle.

2.4 Ethical Commerce and Responsible AI

Ethical commerce extends beyond legal compliance by considering whether business practices respect consumer rights, stakeholder interests and long-term social and environmental wellbeing. Responsible AI principles commonly emphasise fairness, transparency, accountability, privacy, safety and human oversight. Integrating these principles into commercial processes can help align AI innovation with consumer expectations.

3. Research Gap

Existing scholarship has extensively examined AI adoption, digital trust and sustainability as individual or partially connected themes. A continuing research need is to examine these dimensions together within a consumer-oriented ethical-commerce framework. There is particular scope for research that simultaneously considers responsible AI practices, consumer trust and perceived sustainable business behaviour. The present study addresses this gap by proposing an integrated model and an empirical testing procedure that can be applied to consumers using AI-enabled commercial services.

4. Problem Statement

Businesses are increasingly adopting AI to improve efficiency and customer experience, yet rapid adoption can create concerns regarding privacy, transparency, algorithmic fairness, accountability and environmental impact. Consumers may find it difficult to assess whether an AI-enabled business is acting responsibly. The central problem addressed by this study is therefore the need to understand how responsible AI practices and sustainable business behaviour influence consumer trust and contribute to the development of ethical commerce.

5. Objectives of the Study

1. To examine consumer perceptions of AI use in contemporary commerce.
2. To assess the relationship between responsible AI practices and consumer trust.
3. To examine the relationship between sustainable business practices and consumer trust.
4. To analyse whether consumer trust is associated with positive behavioural intentions toward AI-enabled businesses.
5. To develop an integrated framework connecting AI responsibility, consumer trust and sustainability within ethical commerce.
6. To provide practical suggestions for businesses seeking to implement AI in a transparent, fair and sustainable manner.

6. Hypotheses of the Study

H1: Responsible AI practices have a significant positive relationship with consumer trust.

H2: Sustainable business practices have a significant positive relationship with consumer trust.

H3: Consumer trust has a significant positive relationship with consumers' intention to continue using or supporting AI-enabled businesses.

H4: Responsible AI practices and sustainable business practices jointly explain significant variation in consumer trust.

H5: Consumer trust positively mediates the relationship between responsible AI practices and behavioural intention.

7. Methodology

7.1 Research Design

The study adopts a quantitative, descriptive and analytical research design. The proposed design is cross-sectional because consumer perceptions are measured at a particular point in time. A structured questionnaire is suitable for measuring latent constructs such as perceived AI responsibility, consumer trust, sustainability perceptions and behavioural intention.

7.2 Population and Sample

The target population comprises consumers who use digital commerce services such as e-commerce platforms, digital banking, online food delivery, digital payments or AI-supported

customer-service systems. For demonstration of the statistical procedures in this manuscript, an illustrative sample of 250 hypothetical respondents is used. A real research publication should replace this illustrative dataset with responses collected using an approved sampling procedure.

7.3 Sampling Technique

For an actual study, purposive or convenience sampling may be used when the objective is to reach consumers with relevant digital-commerce experience. Where resources permit, stratified or probability sampling can improve representativeness. The sampling method, inclusion criteria and response rate should be reported transparently in the final empirical version.

7.4 Research Instrument

The questionnaire may contain demographic items and statements measuring four principal constructs: responsible AI practices, consumer trust, sustainable business practices and behavioural intention. Responses can be recorded on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree.

7.5 Variables and Measurement

Construct	Illustrative dimensions	Scale
Responsible AI	Transparency, fairness, privacy, explainability, human oversight	5-point Likert
Consumer Trust	Reliability, security, honesty, confidence, perceived consumer interest	5-point Likert
Sustainability	Resource efficiency, waste reduction, responsible sourcing, social responsibility	5-point Likert
Behavioural Intention	Continued use, recommendation, willingness to engage, loyalty	5-point Likert

7.6 Statistical Tools

- Percentage analysis and descriptive statistics for respondent characteristics.
- Mean and standard deviation for major constructs.

- Cronbach's alpha for internal consistency of multi-item scales.
- Pearson correlation to examine relationships among major variables.
- Multiple regression to examine the combined influence of responsible AI and sustainability on consumer trust.
- Mediation analysis may be used to test whether consumer trust explains the relationship between responsible AI and behavioural intention.

8. Data Analysis

The following tables demonstrate how an empirical analysis may be presented. Because no primary survey dataset was supplied with the request, the numerical values below are explicitly illustrative and hypothetical. They are included to provide a complete journal-ready analytical structure and must not be reported as actual field findings.

8.1 Illustrative Respondent Profile (n = 250)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	128	51.2
Gender	Female	118	47.2
Gender	Other/Prefer not to say	4	1.6
Age	18–25	96	38.4
Age	26–35	74	29.6
Age	36–45	48	19.2
Age	46 and above	32	12.8
Digital commerce use	Frequent	172	68.8
Digital commerce use	Occasional	78	31.2

8.2 Descriptive Statistics

Construct	Mean	SD	Interpretation
Responsible AI Practices	3.82	0.71	Relatively high
Consumer Trust	3.76	0.74	Relatively high
Sustainable Business Practices	3.69	0.77	Relatively high
Behavioural Intention	3.73	0.75	Relatively high

8.3 Reliability Analysis

Construct	No. of items	Illustrative Cronbach's α
Responsible AI Practices	8	0.88
Consumer Trust	7	0.86
Sustainable Business Practices	7	0.84
Behavioural Intention	5	0.82

The illustrative alpha coefficients exceed the commonly used threshold of 0.70, indicating acceptable internal consistency for demonstration purposes. In the final study, reliability should be calculated from the actual collected responses.

8.4 Correlation Analysis

Variables	RAI	CT	SBP	BI
Responsible AI (RAI)	1.00	0.62	0.55	0.51
Consumer Trust (CT)	0.62	1.00	0.59	0.68
Sustainable Business (SBP)	0.55	0.59	1.00	0.56
Behavioural Intention (BI)	0.51	0.68	0.56	1.00

In the illustrative matrix, responsible AI practices show a positive association with consumer trust (r = 0.62), while sustainable business practices also show a positive association with trust (r = 0.59). Consumer trust has the strongest illustrative association with behavioural intention (r = 0.68). These figures are examples of how results could be interpreted and are not evidence from an actual sample.

8.5 Illustrative Multiple Regression

Predictor	Standardised β	Illustrative p-value	Decision at 5% level
Responsible AI Practices	0.47	<0.001	Supported
Sustainable Business Practices	0.33	<0.001	Supported

Illustratively, the regression model suggests that responsible AI and sustainability perceptions jointly explain a meaningful proportion of variation in consumer trust. In an actual study, R^2, adjusted R^2, F-statistic, confidence intervals, multicollinearity diagnostics and model assumptions should also be reported.

9. Findings

1. The illustrative descriptive results indicate that respondents may perceive responsible AI practices, consumer trust and sustainable business practices at moderately high levels.
2. Responsible AI practices are positively associated with consumer trust in the illustrative correlation analysis.
3. Sustainable business practices are also positively associated with consumer trust.
4. Consumer trust demonstrates a positive association with behavioural intention, suggesting that trust may be an important mechanism through which ethical practices affect continued consumer engagement.
5. The illustrative regression model indicates that both responsible AI and sustainability perceptions can be considered relevant predictors of consumer trust.
6. The results support the proposed integrated framework, subject to confirmation using real primary data.

10. Discussion

The study's conceptual and illustrative results indicate that ethical commerce should not treat AI adoption as a purely technological issue. The commercial benefits of AI depend partly on whether consumers perceive its use as responsible. Transparency can reduce uncertainty, privacy safeguards can reduce perceived risk, fairness can improve perceptions of legitimacy, and human oversight can provide reassurance when automated systems make consequential recommendations or decisions.

The role of sustainability is similarly multidimensional. Consumers may respond positively when businesses demonstrate measurable efforts to reduce waste, improve resource efficiency and address social responsibilities. However, sustainability claims need credible evidence. Businesses that use AI to optimise operations should also consider the environmental footprint of digital infrastructure and avoid presenting isolated efficiency gains as proof of overall sustainability.

Consumer trust emerges as a connecting concept between organisational practices and consumer behaviour. Trust cannot be created solely through marketing communication; it is strengthened through consistent experiences, responsible data practices, clear explanations, reliable service and effective mechanisms for resolving complaints.

The integrated perspective proposed by this study suggests that ethical commerce is strongest when AI governance and sustainability governance are connected. For example, an organisation may establish an AI ethics committee, conduct impact assessments, monitor model performance, publish appropriate transparency information and simultaneously track environmental and social indicators.

11. Implications

11.1 Managerial Implications

- Businesses should communicate clearly about how AI is used, particularly where automated systems affect prices, recommendations, eligibility or customer service.
- Privacy-by-design and security controls should be incorporated into AI-enabled commercial processes.
- Organisations should establish human-review mechanisms for high-impact automated decisions.
- Sustainability targets should be measurable and supported by evidence rather than relying only on promotional claims.
- AI procurement and deployment decisions should consider both business value and environmental and social impacts.

11.2 Consumer Implications

- Consumers benefit when businesses provide accessible information about data collection and AI-assisted decision-making.
- Clear complaint, correction and human-support channels can improve consumer agency.
- Consumers can evaluate sustainability claims by looking for measurable commitments and credible disclosures.

11.3 Policy and Institutional Implications

- Institutions can encourage responsible AI governance through standards, impact assessments, transparency requirements and consumer-protection mechanisms.
- Educational initiatives can improve AI and digital-literacy skills so consumers can understand both benefits and risks.
- Sustainability reporting and responsible-technology governance can be increasingly connected as AI becomes embedded in business operations.

12. Limitations

1. The numerical results in this manuscript are illustrative because no primary dataset was provided. They must be replaced by actual observations before submission as an empirical research article.
2. A cross-sectional design cannot establish long-term causal relationships.
3. Self-reported consumer perceptions may be affected by response bias.
4. Convenience or purposive sampling, if used, may limit generalisability.
5. AI applications and consumer expectations evolve rapidly, so findings may change across industries and time periods.
6. The study focuses on consumer perceptions and does not independently audit the technical or environmental performance of AI systems.

13. Suggestions

1. Future researchers should collect primary data from diverse consumer groups and report the sampling procedure, response rate and instrument-validation process.
2. Comparative studies can examine differences across e-commerce, banking, retail, insurance, hospitality and other service sectors.
3. Longitudinal studies can assess whether trust changes as consumers gain greater experience with AI-enabled services.
4. Future studies should examine privacy concerns, algorithmic fairness, explainability and human oversight as separate dimensions.
5. Researchers can integrate objective sustainability indicators with consumer-perception measures.
6. Businesses should establish responsible-AI policies, regular algorithmic audits and clear accountability structures.

7. Organizations should avoid exaggerated sustainability or AI claims and provide evidence that consumers can understand.
8. Future empirical models may test mediation and moderation effects, including whether digital literacy, perceived risk or prior AI experience changes the relationship between responsible AI and trust.

14. Conclusion

The future of ethical commerce will be shaped not simply by how rapidly businesses adopt Artificial Intelligence, but by how responsibly they integrate AI into relationships with consumers and wider stakeholders. Responsible AI practices such as transparency, privacy protection, fairness, explain ability and human oversight can help create the conditions for consumer trust. Sustainable business practices add a further dimension by connecting commercial innovation with environmental and social responsibility.

This study proposes that consumer trust can serve as a central link between responsible organisational practices and positive consumer engagement. The illustrative analysis demonstrates a practical statistical framework for testing these relationships, while clearly recognising that actual empirical evidence requires primary data collection. For businesses, the key implication is that ethical commerce should be embedded in governance, operations, technology design and sustainability strategy rather than treated as a separate promotional activity.

Ultimately, AI-enabled commerce can create substantial value when innovation is accompanied by accountability. Organisations that combine technological capability with consumer rights, transparent communication, responsible data use and credible sustainability commitments can contribute to a commercial environment in which efficiency and innovation are aligned with trust and long-term stakeholder wellbeing.

15. References

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340.

European Commission. (2019). Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI. High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach. Pitman.

International Organization for Standardization. (2023). ISO/IEC 42001:2023 Information technology — Artificial intelligence — Management system.

OECD. (2019). Recommendation of the Council on Artificial Intelligence. OECD Legal Instruments.

OECD. (2024). OECD AI Principles. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.

United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

United Nations Environment Programme. (2024). Global Resources Outlook 2024: Bend the Trend.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425–478.

World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). Our Common Future. Oxford University Press.



Open Access & Licensing

Dr. BGR Publications supports Open Access scholarly communication, enabling published works to be freely accessible online.

License: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License CC BY 4.0

Copyright: © Author(s)

Published by Dr. BGR Publications | India