AI-Driven Credit Scoring and Financial Decision-Making in Fintech: Ethical Accountability and Regulatory Challenges in the Kerala Banking Sector

Dheera I V

Assistant Professor Christ College (Autonomous) Irinjalakuda, Affiliated to Calicut University.

Corresponding Author Email: dheeraiv@gmail.com

Abstract

The increasing integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in credit scoring has fundamentally transformed financial decision-making in banking and fintech institutions. While AI enhances efficiency, predictive accuracy, and financial inclusion, it simultaneously introduces ethical and regulatory challenges related to transparency, algorithmic fairness, data privacy, and accountability. This study examines the ethical and regulatory determinants influencing trust in AI-driven credit scoring systems within the Kerala banking sector. Primary data were collected from 150 respondents comprising banking professionals, fintech employees, and customers using a structured questionnaire. The study employs descriptive statistics, reliability analysis, independent samples t-tests, one-way ANOVA, and chi-square tests to examine differences and associations across demographic and institutional groups. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) is applied to evaluate causal relationships among AI transparency, algorithmic fairness, data privacy, regulatory compliance, and trust in AI-based credit decisions. The findings reveal that AI transparency and regulatory compliance exert a significant positive influence on trust, whereas concerns related to algorithmic fairness and data privacy negatively affect user confidence. The study highlights the importance of explainable AI systems, robust regulatory oversight, and ethical governance frameworks to ensure responsible AI adoption in Kerala's banking ecosystem.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Credit Scoring, Ethical Accountability, Regulatory Compliance, Trust, Fintech, Kerala Banking Sector

1. Introduction

The rapid digital transformation of financial services has accelerated the adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in banking and fintech institutions, particularly in credit scoring

and lending decisions. AI-driven credit scoring systems utilize machine learning algorithms to process large volumes of structured and unstructured data, enabling faster and more accurate credit assessments compared to traditional statistical models.

In India, fintech innovation has been encouraged through digital banking reforms, open banking initiatives, and regulatory sandboxes. Kerala, with its high literacy rate, extensive cooperative banking network, and increasing penetration of digital financial services, offers a distinctive institutional context for examining ethical and regulatory challenges in AI-based financial decision-making. Despite its advantages, AI-driven credit scoring raises concerns related to transparency, algorithmic bias, data privacy, and regulatory accountability, which directly influence trust among stakeholders. The convergence of advanced analytics and automated decision-making has redefined how financial institutions evaluate risk and allocate credit. As data-driven technologies increasingly shape lending practices, the reliance on algorithmic systems has shifted decision authority from human judgment to machine-based models. This transition has prompted critical debates regarding ethical responsibility, regulatory oversight, and institutional accountability in technology-mediated finance.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 AI-Based Credit Scoring

AI-based credit scoring models have demonstrated superior predictive capabilities by capturing complex and non-linear relationships in financial data (Berg et al., 2020). These systems enhance efficiency and support financial inclusion but raise governance and accountability concerns.

2.2 Ethical Accountability and Algorithmic Fairness

Algorithmic bias occurs when AI systems reflect historical inequalities embedded in training data, leading to discriminatory outcomes (Barocas & Selbst, 2016). Ethical accountability requires transparency, fairness, and mechanisms for contesting automated decisions.

2.3 Transparency and Explainable AI

Explainable AI (XAI) improves interpretability and accountability in high-stakes decisions such as credit approval (Doshi-Velez & Kim, 2017). Transparency is essential for regulatory compliance and trust building.

2.4 Data Privacy and Regulatory Compliance

The use of personal and behavioral data in AI-driven credit scoring heightens data privacy concerns. Regulatory frameworks such as India's Digital Personal Data Protection Act emphasize consent, data minimization, and accountability (Kshetri, 2021).

2.5 Trust in AI-Based Financial Decisions

Trust is a key determinant of AI acceptance in financial services. Prior studies show that transparency and regulatory assurance increase trust, while perceived bias and privacy risks reduce confidence (Siau & Wang, 2018).

Research Gap

Limited empirical studies examine ethical accountability and regulatory challenges of AI-driven credit scoring in the Kerala banking sector using Structural Equation Modeling. Existing studies largely adopt conceptual or descriptive approaches, with limited integration of advanced multivariate techniques capable of capturing complex causal relationships among ethical, regulatory, and trust-related constructs. Moreover, stakeholder perceptions across diverse institutional contexts such as public banks, private banks, and fintech firms in Kerala remain underexplored within a unified empirical framework.

3. Research Objectives and Hypotheses

Objectives

1. To identify ethical and regulatory challenges in AI-driven credit scoring.
2. To examine differences in perceptions across demographic and institutional groups.
3. To analyze the causal impact of ethical and regulatory factors on trust in AI-based credit decisions.

Hypotheses

- **H1:** AI transparency has a significant positive impact on trust in AI-driven credit decisions.
- **H2:** Algorithmic fairness has a significant positive impact on trust in AI-driven credit decisions.
- **H3:** Data privacy concerns have a significant negative impact on trust in AI-driven credit decisions.

- **H4:** Regulatory compliance has a significant positive impact on trust in AI-driven credit decisions.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design

A descriptive and causal research design was adopted. This design enables the systematic examination of both perceptual patterns among respondents and the causal relationships between ethical, regulatory, and trust-related variables in AI-driven credit scoring systems.

4.2 Data, Sample, and Population

Stratified purposive sampling was adopted to ensure adequate representation of banking professionals, fintech employees, and customers across different institutional categories in Kerala.

4.3 Data Collection Instrument

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire, employing a five-point Likert scale to capture respondents' perceptions of AI transparency, algorithmic fairness, data privacy, regulatory compliance, and trust in AI-driven credit scoring systems.

4.4 Tools and Techniques of Data Analysis

- Descriptive statistics
- Cronbach's Alpha
- Independent samples t-test
- One-way ANOVA
- Chi-square test
- Correlation analysis
- Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)

5. Data Analysis and Results

5.1 Descriptive Statistics

Construct	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
AI Transparency	4.12	0.58	150

Construct	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Algorithmic Fairness	3.87	0.63	150
Data Privacy	3.65	0.71	150
Regulatory Compliance	4.05	0.60	150
Trust in AI Decisions	3.98	0.62	150

Respondents perceive AI systems as relatively transparent and compliant with regulations, and privacy persists.

5.2 Reliability Analysis

Construct	No. of Items	Cronbach's Alpha
AI Transparency	4	0.82
Algorithmic Fairness	4	0.79
Data Privacy	4	0.81
Regulatory Compliance	4	0.85
Trust in AI Decisions	4	0.88

All constructs exceed the 0.7 threshold, confirming reliability.

5.3 Correlation Analysis

Construct	1	2	3	4	5
1. AI Transparency	1				
2. Algorithmic Fairness	0.42**	1			
3. Data Privacy	-0.35**	-0.28**	1		
4. Regulatory Compliance	0.48**	0.36**	-0.31**	1	
5. Trust in AI Decisions	0.52**	0.39**	-0.42**	0.57**	1

5.4 Group Comparisons

- **t-test:** Trust in AI is higher among fintech employees than traditional bank staff (p=0.021).

- **ANOVA:** Significant differences in algorithmic fairness perceptions across age groups (p=0.017).
- **Chi-square:** Regulatory awareness is significantly associated with trust in AI-based decisions (χ^2=12.45, p=0.001).

5.5 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)

Path Diagram Placeholder: AI Transparency → Trust, Algorithmic Fairness → Trust, Data Privacy → Trust, Regulatory Compliance → Trust.

Path	Estimate	Std. Error	t-value	p-value
AI Transparency → Trust	0.38	0.08	4.75	0.000**
Algorithmic Fairness → Trust	0.22	0.07	3.14	0.002**
Data Privacy → Trust	-0.31	0.09	-3.44	0.001**
Regulatory Compliance → Trust	0.45	0.06	7.50	0.000**

Model Fit: CFI=0.93, TLI=0.91, RMSEA=0.058, SRMR=0.045

SEM confirms that transparency and regulatory compliance positively influence trust, while data privacy concerns reduce trust.

6. Discussion

The empirical results of this study underscore that AI transparency and regulatory compliance are the most influential factors in building trust among stakeholders in Kerala's banking sector. Respondents consistently indicated that when credit decisions are explainable and align with regulatory standards, they are more likely to accept AI-driven systems. This aligns with prior literature emphasizing the role of explainable AI (XAI) in enhancing confidence and accountability in high-stakes financial decisions (Doshi-Velez & Kim, 2017; Siau & Wang, 2018).

Conversely, data privacy concerns and perceptions of algorithmic unfairness were found to have a significant negative effect on trust. This suggests that even if AI systems are technologically advanced, ethical lapses or insufficient privacy safeguards can undermine stakeholder confidence. Banking institutions and fintech firms should therefore not only

focus on the predictive accuracy of AI models but also proactively implement mechanisms that ensure fairness, prevent bias, and protect sensitive customer data.

From a policy perspective, several recommendations emerge:

1. Mandate Explainable AI: Regulators should require that credit scoring algorithms be interpretable, with clear documentation of decision-making processes accessible to both customers and oversight bodies.
2. Strengthen Data Governance: Compliance with privacy regulations and adoption of robust cybersecurity protocols should be prioritized. Banks and fintech firms should implement regular audits and transparency reports to demonstrate responsible data handling.
3. Promote Ethical Governance: Establishing institutional ethics committees or AI oversight boards can guide the responsible deployment of AI technologies, ensuring adherence to fairness and accountability standards.
4. Capacity Building and Awareness: Both customers and employees should be educated about AI-driven decision-making, its benefits, limitations, and regulatory safeguards to enhance trust and informed usage.
5. Continuous Monitoring of Bias: Periodic evaluation of algorithmic outputs can detect and correct unfair practices or unintended discrimination, fostering long-term trust.

These findings have practical implications for Kerala's banking ecosystem, where a combination of traditional banking institutions, cooperative banks, and emerging fintech firms coexist. While fintech firms may have more flexible innovation practices, traditional banks often face challenges in adapting AI systems ethically and transparently. Therefore, a hybrid approach combining technological adoption, ethical auditing, and regulatory compliance is essential to maximize trust and efficiency across institutional types.

7. Conclusion

This study highlights the complex interplay between ethical, regulatory, and technological factors in shaping trust in AI-driven credit scoring systems. AI adoption in banking and fintech enhances operational efficiency, reduces processing time, and improves risk assessment accuracy. However, the findings indicate that technological advancement alone is insufficient; stakeholders' confidence depends heavily on transparency, fairness, privacy safeguards, and regulatory adherence.

Specifically, the study demonstrates that:

- AI transparency significantly strengthens trust by making automated decisions understandable to users.
- Regulatory compliance provides assurance that ethical and legal standards are being maintained.
- Algorithmic fairness and data privacy concerns pose significant barriers to trust, highlighting areas for intervention by both policymakers and institutions.

For sustainable and responsible AI adoption in Kerala's banking sector, a multi-pronged strategy is recommended. Institutions must combine technical innovation with ethical governance, data protection, and clear communication. Regulators should not only enforce compliance but also facilitate industry-wide best practices and encourage the adoption of explainable AI frameworks.

Furthermore, the study contributes to the academic literature by empirically investigating AI ethics and regulatory challenges within a regional banking ecosystem using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), offering a model that can guide future research and policy formulation.

In conclusion, AI-driven credit scoring represents both an opportunity and a responsibility. When implemented with transparency, fairness, and regulatory oversight, AI can foster efficiency and financial inclusion. Conversely, neglecting ethical and regulatory considerations risks eroding stakeholder trust and undermining the potential benefits of AI in the financial sector.

References

Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. D. (2016). Big data's disparate impact. California Law Review, 104(3), 671–732.

Berg, T., Burg, V., Gombović, A., & Puri, M. (2020). On the rise of fintechs: Credit scoring using digital footprints. Review of Financial Studies, 33(7), 2845–2897.

Doshi-Velez, F., & Kim, B. (2017). Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning. arXiv preprint.

Kshetri, N. (2021). The economics of data privacy laws. Computer, 54(3), 90–94.

Siau, K., & Wang, W. (2018). Building trust in artificial intelligence, machine learning, and robotics. International Journal of Information Management, 43, 1–11.