A Study on Innovation and Technology Entrepreneurship: Perspectives, Contribution and Challenges

K. Muthu Meena[1]* and Bindu Antony[2]

[1]*Research Scholar, Fatima College, Madurai*

[2]*Assistant Professor, Research Centre of Commerce, Fatima College, Madurai.*

**Corresponding Author Email: muthumeenakathiresan@gmail.com*

Abstract

This paper viewed at emerging changes with respect to modern innovation and technology entrepreneurship, especially how the traditional, linear models give way to more flexible, ecosystem-based frameworks. Entrepreneurship is showcasing greater decentralization, collaboration, and forging new opportunities with the quick progression in digital technologies. The study draws from recent literature to describe how the entrepreneurial process is being changed by digital platforms, collective intelligence, user participation, and, to a lesser extent, the entrepreneur. Instead of a lone innovator, he's increasingly becoming a network orchestrator within ecosystems. The paper examines the role of social responsibility in innovation, where the business models no longer emphasize only profit maximization, but take into account social and environmental dimensions along with the long-term impact. In such a context, ethically aligned innovation becomes the main focus, which demands active governance and participation of stakeholders from all levels. This study analyzes the persistence in the need for change concerning the issue of disruption and identify the strategic agility and adaptability during the technological changes for the firm. The discussion offered under the focus of organizational learning and incubation reveals that the innovation processes must be proactive, resilient and adaptive, where risks are perceived to be an essential facet of the innovation and not something to avoid. Integrating major contributions of the works within the area, the paper builds a bounded reconsidered approach for the innovation and technology entrepreneurship which takes into account the relations of digital technologies, sustainability, and ethical anticipatory governance.

Keywords: Innovation, Technology Entrepreneurship, Digital Transformation, Business Model Innovation, Ecosystems, Responsible Innovation, Sustainability, Disruption, Strategic Adaptation

Introduction

The globalization and digitalization of economies have made innovation and entrepreneurship integral to modern economies. Entrepreneurs are now anticipate to solve issues as involved as digital transformation, social sustainability, and responsibility. Nambisan et al. (2019) explain that combine of digital tools and practices with entrepreneurship forms a mental construction that reorganize systems for opportunity enabling inter-corporate strategizing. These trends identify the complexity of constructing innovation-based ventures in new markets as they simultaneously obtain ecosystem opportunities and face constraints.

Cozzolino et al. (2018) notes that there are still gaps in the older models of realizing the impact of occurring technologies. Cozzolino et al (2018) illustrate the disruption cycle characterize the deep relationships between strategic accommodation in incumbent firms and technological changes. Equally, Foss and Saebi (2018) discuss the changing nature of business model innovation, moving from wicked to paradigmatic problems, making it arduous to apply notion from the literature into real life. The changes are also towards responsible and framework bound stirring sustainable innovation within the entrepreneurial ecosystem.

Blok and Lemmens (2015), disagree with the traditional approaches to innovation and punctuated the necessity for a broader ethics-informed paradigm. In addition, Dwivedi et al. (2023). It examine the multifaceted influence of generative AI like ChatGPT, noting that while there are important opportunities, ethical and legal issues also pose critical threats. As innovation continues to amalgamate into the DNA of entrepreneurship and assessing the productive and unsettling forces at play from diverse academic aspect is imperative.

Objectives

- To analyze contemporary theoretical aspects on innovation and technology entrepreneurship and their real-world implications.
- To determine the level of awareness regarding technopreneurship among individuals and businesses.
- To find out the secure funding from investors to financially support their ventures and upgrade the growth.
- To identify key contributions and occurring challenges that effect entrepreneurial practices in the digital era.

Research Significance

- This research is substantial as it attempts to improve the perceiving of innovation and technology entrepreneurship with the disruption of ethics, digital disruption, and business model innovation and it helps to build a comprehensive view for policy makers, educationists, and entrepreneurs to work out strong innovation strategies aligned with the relevant ethics frameworks.

- Technology has helped bridge the split up between various civilizations and cultures, and it will be difficult in the future as governments are united into a single, artificial intelligence-run government. Every organization's skilled entrepreneurship will peak at this period in human history. Because it would be the first step in allowing humans to mine space and utilize the resources of other planets to make them habitable.

Methodology

This study apply a qualitative approach based on a theoretical framework which combine the most related scholarly works derived from academic journals and books. The body of literature selected encompass core theories, more recent empirical findings, and to be primarly focus on the analysis of identifying patterns through thematic coding as well as review of the many challenges and contributions found around the literature reviewed.

Literature Review

In the past few decennaries, the concept understanding of innovation and entrepreneurship has transmuted significantly. In the past, most experts considered entrepreneurship as a straightforward succession of a sequence of steps that started with mentioned an opportunity, followed by mobilizing pertinent resources, and culminating in promoting a venture. It was most common to think that the role of an entrepreneur was simply to identify reputed gaps in the market, gather relevant resources, and launch a business to make the most of those gaps.

Changing Constructs around Technology Entrepreneurship

Nambisan (2017) offered a distinct digital perspective on entrepreneurship that transformed the methodologies associated with discovering and pursuing entrepreneurial opportunities. Unlike traditional enterprises that function within set boundaries,

contemporary entrepreneurship with the emergence of digital technologies such as platforms, apps, and cloud-based infrastructures do not have boundaries. Consider, for example, the access to global resources, decentralized markets, and real-time data available to today's entrepreneurs. Nambisan argues that digital artifacts such as codes, algorithms and platforms are more than merely constituents; they are powerful catalysts of entrepreneurial opportunity.

Business Model Innovation as a Complex Challenge

Foss and Saebi (2018) criticize the rapid evolution of a concept which is termed business model innovation (BMI). They characterize BMI as dealing with "wicked problems" which defy straightforward solutions due to their lack of clear boundaries and highly contextual nature. Unlike product innovation, which can be defined and measured through BMI requires rethinking the entire rationale of value creation, delivery, and capture. This inherently renders innovation more systemic, less predictable, involving iteration, stakeholder participation, and responsive modification to real-time feedback. It is responsible innovation that expects an entrepreneur to foresee social risks, involve opposing perspectives into innovations, and ensure that technology serves the public interest. This is critical in biotechnology, artificial intelligence, and climate innovation because those areas have profound societal impact.

Sustainable Innovation Models

Historically, business models have focused on maximizing profit and operational effectiveness.

Evans et al (2017) and Lüdeke-Freund (2020) propose a more holistic approach which rethinks Business Model Innovation (BMI) by making sustainability a priority, not an afterthought. These researchers provide comprehensive models that integrate economy, environment, and social value cohesively. Their findings demonstrate that:

- Sustainable business models are not static designs but dynamic responsive systems that address ever-evolving global issues like climate change, resource depletion, and social inequities.
- To adapt these models, entrepreneurs are forced to redefine value creation, customer participation, and suply chain from a landscape dominated by linear consumption to circular and regenerative economies.

From Opportunity Recognition to Ecosystem Co-creation

Situational awareness, and alertness, across classical entrepreneurship frameworks was the focus of many scholars in the past. **Nambisan (2017) and Elia et al. (2020),** however, showcased the emergence of entrepreneurial opportunities in digital environments through networks, platforms, and user engagement co-creation. This captures the essence of an entrepreneur less as an actor and more as an orchestrator in the broader digital ecosystem.

This reconceptualization proves that

- Decentralized collaborative systems are where digital innovation derives. This includes platforms that harness intelligence such as crowdsourcing and open innovation.
- Creation of value happens as an interactive iterative process instead of a linear controlled step.
- Fluid organizational boundaries combined with real-time data, market feedback, and strategic pivots require entrepreneurial processes to become far more adaptable

Emerging Digital Ecosystem Innovations

- From this angle, Elia **et al. (2020)** describe the modern innovation process as being situated within digital entrepreneurship ecosystems. In this view, it stress their importance of collective intelligence–communities of users, developers, and stakeholders actively participate in knowledge production, solution testing, and product evolution at an unprecedented scale and pace. Examples are open source software communities, crowdsourced innovation platforms, or decentralized autonomous organizations (DAOs).
- This development challenges the traditional view of the entrepreneur as the lone innovator. Instead, they are increasingly seen as network orchestrators who sit in the middle of fluid boundaries of producers and consumers (or ‘prosumers’), complex webs of stakeholders, and countless interactions.

Still, this distributed model of innovation has some additional issues

Governance: Who takes responsibility for errors in a decentralized structure?

- **Intellectual Property (IP):** How is the right to protect or share IP dealt with when numerous persons contribute to a single innovation through collaboration?

- **Coordination:** How can slack, loosely knit units achieve coherence and orientation towards a goal in the absence of central authority?

The Duality of Generative AI

Generative AI is one of the finest examples of both sides of a coin and its assessment is done in detail by **Dwivedi et al. (2023).** Multidisciplinary study sheds light on the impact of tools such as ChatGPT which transformed standing procedures in content creation, customer service, education, and literally any other form of content. Information democratizing technologies eliminates highly sophisticated capabilities, which were previously accessible only to large corporation. Some of the points that need more attention, include:

- **Authorship and originality:** Algorithms generating text, images, and even code makes the elusive question of ownership more complex. Thus, determining intellectual authorship and contribution becomes extremely difficult.
- **Surveillance and Data Privacy:** AI technologies depend on large data sets for training. These datasets are frequently scraped from public or private sources without consent- ethical boundaries of data use are questionable.
- **Displacement of Employment:** AI is capable of performing more complex tasks such as writing, coding, and interacting with customers. Entire categories of employment is likely to be displaced. This calls for a proactive reskilling of the workforce, alongside strong regulation to safeguard workers.

Conclusion

- The digital technologies, the dynamics of ecosystems, and sustainability incumbent have had an influence on innovation and technology entrepreneurship. The current entrepreneurial landscape is so elaborate that it cannot be explained solely through a linear innovation process. Today's character is shaped by decentralized digital ecosystems, collaborative intelligence, and prudence of ethical responsibility. In this sense, the modern-day entrepreneurs go beyond just generating ventures- they operate as system architects within constantly evolving socio-technical networks.

- As reviewed literature indicates, merging business model innovation, technology incubation, and the incubation makes the consequence sustainable and generates long term value. The future innovation depends on how well balance is maintained on a

disruptive and adaptable innovation, societal needs, and ecological responsibility. To generate sustainable economies and enlarge the responsibities of entrepreneurship while supporting the idea of dilapidated but needed frameworks that punctuate a core of responsibility and cross sector collaboration that nurture fluidity.

References

1) *Nambisan, S. (2017). Digital entrepreneurship: Toward a digital technology perspective of entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship theory and practice, 41(6), 1029-1055.*

2) *Foss, N. J., & Saebi, T. (2018). Business models and business model innovation: Between wicked and paradigmatic problems. Long range planning, 51(1), 9-21.*

3) *Evans, S., Vladimirova, D., Holgado, M., Van Fossen, K., Yang, M., Silva, E. A., & Barlow, C. Y. (2017). Business model innovation for sustainability: Towards a unified perspective for creation of sustainable business models. Business strategy and the environment, 26(5), 597-608.*

4) *Lüdeke-Freund, F. (2020). Sustainable entrepreneurship, innovation, and business models:¬ Integrative framework and propositions for future research. Business Strategy and the Environment, 29(2), 665-681.*

5) *Nambisan, S., Wright, M., & Feldman, M. (2019). The digital transformation of innovation and entrepreneurship: Progress, challenges and key themes. Research policy, 48(8), 103773.*

6) *Elia, G., Margherita, A., & Passiante, G. (2020). Digital entrepreneurship ecosystem: How digital technologies and collective intelligence are reshaping the entrepreneurial process. Technological forecasting and social change, 150, 119791.*

7) *Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., ... & Wright, R. (2023). Opinion Paper: "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. International journal of information management, 71, 102642.*