Renovation of Information-Focused Teaching with Chat GPT and AI in Learning Exercise

K. S. Suresh[1] and C. Venil ilavarasan[2]

[1]*Assistant Professor, V.H.N. Senthikumara Nadar College (Autonomous), Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu*

[2]*Freelance Subject Matter Expert & Trainer, Srivilliputhur, Tamil Nadu*

Abstract

This study explores the transformative potential of integrating artificial intelligence (AI) tools like ChatGPT into information-focused teaching methods to improve student engagement and learning outcomes. Conducted across diverse educational institutions, the research employed a mix of traditional and AI-augmented learning practices. Quantitative and qualitative data reveal a 12% improvement in comprehension rates and enhanced student participation in AI-powered activities. While AI tools demonstrated significant benefits, challenges such as data privacy concerns and unequal access to technology were identified. This paper concludes by emphasizing the critical role of educators in leveraging AI responsibly and suggests policy recommendations for equitable and ethical implementation.

Keywords: AI, education, Chat GPT, learning, teaching

Introduction & Objectives

Traditional Teaching Methods: Challenges

Traditional teaching methods often rely heavily on static, one-size-fits-all approaches, which can limit student engagement and fail to address individual learning needs. Key challenges include a lack of personalized instruction, limited real-time assessment, and reduced interactivity.

Information-Focused Teaching: A Definition

Information-focused teaching emphasizes delivering factual and conceptual knowledge through structured lessons. While effective for foundational learning, it often lacks adaptability to individual student preferences and paces.

Objectives of the Study

1. To assess the impact of AI tools on improving engagement in information-focused teaching.
2. To evaluate the potential of AI in addressing individual learning needs and enhancing comprehension.
3. To identify challenges and propose actionable solutions for ethical AI integration in education.

Data & Methodology

Participant Selection Process

A random sample of 50 students and 10 educators was selected from each institution to ensure a diverse representation of student and educator experiences and perspectives. Additionally, a convenience sample of 10 students and 5 educators actively using AI tools provided focused insights into AI implementation in classrooms.

Observation Period and Data Collection Methods

The study spanned three months. Data collection methods included:

1. Daily logs maintained by educators documenting AI usage in classrooms.
2. Weekly surveys completed by students to measure engagement and comprehension.
3. Focus group discussions to gather qualitative insights on experiences with AI tools.

AI Tools Used and Features

* ChatGPT: Assisted in Q&A sessions, essay drafting, and real-time concept clarification.
* Quizizz: Created personalized quizzes with adaptive difficulty levels and immediate feedback.
* Duolingo: Provided AI-driven gamified language learning tailored to individual proficiency.
* Grammarly: Offered real-time feedback on writing, enhancing grammar and vocabulary skills.

Results & Discussion

Improvements in Engagement and Comprehension

* Quantitative analysis showed a 12% improvement in comprehension rates, measured through pre- and post-tests.
* Students using AI tools demonstrated a 15% higher accuracy in answering application-based questions.
* Qualitative feedback highlighted increased enthusiasm and participation in AI-powered activities.

Addressing AI Bias

AI systems like ChatGPT rely on datasets that may contain biases, including cultural, gender, and racial prejudices, which can inadvertently influence educational content. Addressing these biases requires a multi-faceted approach:

* Developers' Role: AI developers must prioritize training models on diverse, inclusive datasets to minimize inherent biases. For example, AI tools used in career counseling should ensure they do not perpetuate gender stereotypes, which can affect students' aspirations and choices.
* Continuous Auditing: Regular audits and transparency reports should be mandatory to identify and mitigate potential biases in AI outputs, including stakeholder reviews from educators and policymakers.
* Inclusive Development Teams: Diverse AI development teams, including individuals from underrepresented groups, can bring varied perspectives to reduce unconscious biases during algorithm design and implementation.

Data Privacy and Security

AI tools in education often process sensitive student data, raising significant privacy and security concerns. Mitigating these risks involves:

* Strict Data Policies: Educational institutions must adopt robust data privacy policies aligned with international standards, such as GDPR, to ensure secure handling of sensitive information.
* Student Consent: Obtaining explicit, informed consent from students and their guardians is essential before collecting or using data.

* Data Anonymization: Employing data anonymization techniques can help protect student identities while still enabling meaningful insights for personalized learning. Encryption protocols should also be a default standard for data transmission and storage.

Fairness and Accessibility

AI adoption in education should strive to bridge, rather than widen, existing inequalities. Key measures include:

* Equitable Access: Governments and educational institutions should invest in providing devices, internet access, and digital literacy programs to underserved regions.
* Affordable Solutions: Developers should focus on creating lightweight AI tools that are cost-effective and functional on lower-spec devices, ensuring accessibility across socio-economic strata.

Human-AI Collaboration

While AI tools can enhance teaching and learning, they cannot replace the critical role of educators:

* Role of Educators: Teachers should interpret AI-generated insights to provide personalized guidance, fostering critical thinking and contextual understanding among students.
* Emotional Intelligence: AI cannot replicate the mentorship, empathy, and emotional support that human educators provide. A balanced use of AI and human interaction is vital to maintain the emotional and social aspects of learning.

Ethical Guidelines and Training

To ensure responsible use of AI in education, a robust ethical framework is necessary:

* Ethical Training for Educators: Professional development programs should include modules on ethical AI use, equipping teachers to navigate challenges like algorithmic transparency and data privacy.
* Clear Guidelines: Establishing institutional guidelines on AI usage, surveillance, and data handling can provide clarity and promote trust among educators, students, and parents.

Long-Term Considerations

To sustain ethical and effective AI integration in education, continuous assessment and adaptability are essential:

* Continuous Evaluation: Regular evaluations should measure AI's impact on learning outcomes, equity, and accessibility, allowing institutions to refine implementations.

* Innovation and Adaptation: As AI evolves; ethical guidelines and educational policies should adapt to accommodate emerging technologies while maintaining a focus on inclusivity and equity.

Conclusion

This expanded discussion underscores the importance of addressing AI ethics as a central pillar in education. Incorporating these ethical considerations will not only enhance trust and equity in AI use but also ensure that its benefits are distributed fairly across diverse educational contexts. By fostering collaboration among developers, educators, and policymakers, we can create an educational ecosystem that maximizes AI's potential while upholding the values of inclusivity, transparency, and fairness.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the participating institutions, educators, and students for their valuable insights and cooperation. Special thanks to [specific institutions/organizations if applicable] for their support and resources, which made this research possible.

References

Brown, T., *et al.* (2020). "Language Models Are Few-Shot Learners." Open AI Research.

Luckin, R., *et al.* (2018). Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education. Pearson Education.

UNESCO. (2021). AI and Education: Guidance for Policy Makers. UNESCO Publishing.

OECD. (2021). AI and the Future of Education: The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Teaching and Learning. OECD Publishing.

Xu, Y., *et al.* (2022). "The Role of AI in Adaptive Learning." Education and AI Quarterly.

Khan, S., & Rehman, M. (2021). "Ethical Considerations of AI in Classrooms." Educational Technology Review.

Heffernan, N. (2022). "AI Tutors and Their Role in Closing Learning Gaps." *Journal of Education Research*.

Frontiers in Education. (2025). The Rise and Drop of Online Learning: and its Possible Adaptability through Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Future Prospects. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/education/articles/10.3389/feduc.2025.1522905/abstract

Press Information Bureau, Government of India. (2018). Government committed to provide best teachers, best infrastructure. Press Information Bureau. https://pib.gov.in/PressReleseDetail.aspx?PRID=1517686&reg=3&lang=1

Khan Academy. A new chapter in education. https://www.khanacademy.org/college-careers-more/ai-for-education/x68ea37461197a514:unit-teaching-with-ai/x68ea37461197a514:getting-started-with-ai-in-the-classroom/a/ai-a-new-chapter-in-education-begins