Artificial Intelligence and the Transformation of Business Solution Provider Consultancies: An International Analysis

K. Ananthan[1] and M. Dillip Anand[2]

[1]*Assistant Professor in Commerce (CA), PG Department of Commerce Government Arts and Science College, Sivakasi, Tamil Nadu*

[2]*Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Economics, Presidency College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu*

[*]*Corresponding Author Mail Id: rithithigasri2019@gmail.com*

Abstract

The accelerating global adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has catalyzed a paradigm shift across industries, transforming operational models and redefining competitive advantages. For Business Solution Provider (BSP) consultancies, this rapid technological transition has unlocked unprecedented opportunities to serve as critical enablers of digital transformation. Traditionally confined to implementation and integration services, BSP consultancies are increasingly evolving into strategic innovation partners that guide organizations in navigating the complexities of AI adoption. The emergence of generative AI, machine learning applications, and intelligent automation has created both opportunities and challenges that extend beyond technical execution. Simultaneously, the development of regulatory frameworks such as the European Union's AI Act underscores the growing importance of governance, compliance, and ethical considerations in AI deployment. These developments necessitate policy-aware, cross-functional consultancies capable of bridging the gap between technological innovation, legal frameworks, and business objectives. BSP consultancies are uniquely positioned to address pressing concerns such as algorithmic transparency, data sovereignty, workforce displacement, and ethical responsibility in AI integration. By combining domain expertise with strategic foresight, they can ensure that AI adoption contributes not only to organizational efficiency and competitiveness but also to broader goals of equity, accountability, and sustainability. As enterprises increasingly seek guidance on balancing innovation with regulation and efficiency with responsibility, BSP consultancies will assume a transformative role in shaping the global AI ecosystem. The scope for such consultancies is therefore not merely expansive but essential in ensuring that AI technologies are harnessed responsibly and inclusively across diverse economic and cultural contexts. This paper explores the evolving role of BSP consultancies in the AI era, emphasizing their potential as pivotal actors in aligning technological advancement with societal and regulatory imperatives.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Business Solution Provider (BSP) Consultancies, Generative AI, AI Governance and Regulation, EU AI Act

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is fundamentally reshaping how businesses operate, making it one of the most transformative forces in the global economy today. From automating workflows to enabling predictive analytics, AI is rapidly being adopted across a wide range of industries, including finance, healthcare, manufacturing, logistics, and education (McKinsey, 2023; World Economic Forum, 2024). Its potential to enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and create new value propositions positions AI as a critical driver of competitive advantage. Yet, despite its promise, the full potential of AI remains unrealized in many organizations due to persistent gaps in expertise, strategic alignment, and execution. This divide between ambition and implementation has created a critical space for Business Solution Provider (BSP) consultancies, which increasingly act as end-to-end facilitators of AI adoption. Their role extends beyond technology integration to encompass AI strategy development, workforce transformation, risk management, and ethical governance (Accenture, 2023; Forrester, 2022). The global AI consulting market is undergoing unprecedented growth. According to PwC (2023), AI is projected to contribute over $15.7 trillion to global GDP by 2030, with a significant portion channeled through AI-related services and consulting. Gartner (2023) further reports that nearly 80% of large enterprises worldwide are seeking third-party support to scale their AI use cases, particularly in generative AI, intelligent automation, and AI risk management. These trends underscore the rising demand for consultancies capable of navigating the multi-dimensional challenges of AI adoption. Despite these opportunities, Deloitte's (2022) AI Readiness Index reveals that only 13% of firms possess mature, scalable AI systems, highlighting a substantial unmet need for strategic consulting services that can bridge organizational capability gaps. One of the major barriers to effective AI deployment is the shortage of skilled talent. IBM's (2022) Global AI Adoption Index found that 35% of enterprises identify insufficient in-house AI expertise as the primary obstacle to successful implementation. This shortage not only limits scalability but also increases the risk of ineffective or misaligned AI strategies. Consequently, BSP consultancies have emerged as vital partners, offering AI readiness assessments, implementation roadmaps, and workforce reskilling initiatives that enable firms to derive sustained value from AI investments (Bain & Company, 2023).

Beyond technical and organizational challenges, the regulatory and ethical landscape further complicates AI adoption. Evolving frameworks such as the European Union's AI Act, along with increasing global attention to algorithmic bias, data sovereignty, and responsible innovation, demand consultancies that can integrate compliance and ethics into business strategy. In this context, BSP consultancies are transitioning from being merely technology implementers to strategic advisors who shape how enterprises approach governance, accountability, and long-term value creation in the AI era. This study examines the expanding role and scope of Business Solution Provider consultancies in

the global AI ecosystem. By analyzing industry trends, regulatory frameworks, and organizational challenges, it highlights how consultancies are becoming indispensable actors in aligning AI adoption with business objectives, ethical standards, and societal needs.

Review of Literature

Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2017) argue that AI adoption is reshaping the competitive landscape by enhancing productivity and driving new business models. They highlight that firms with AI-enabled operations outperform peers by leveraging predictive analytics, intelligent automation, and digital platforms. However, they caution that without adequate guidance, organizations often struggle to align AI technologies with long-term strategic objectives. This provides a crucial role for BSP consultancies to contextualize AI applications within industry-specific challenges and opportunities.

Westerman et al. (2019) emphasize that digital transformation success depends less on technology itself and more on aligning organizational strategy, culture, and leadership. AI adoption follows the same trajectory, requiring firms to integrate innovation with operational realities. Consultancies act as mediators, ensuring that AI deployment enhances rather than disrupts existing business processes.

Floridi and Cowls (2021) stress that ethical and regulatory considerations in AI adoption are becoming central to business practice. Issues such as transparency, accountability, and algorithmic bias demand robust governance frameworks. Consultancies play a critical role in embedding responsible AI principles into organizational policies, thereby enabling compliance with global regulations like the EU AI Act.

According to Wilson and Daugherty (2018) a persistent challenge in AI integration is the shortage of skilled professionals. They highlight that firms often underestimate the cultural and workforce implications of AI adoption. Consultancies bridge this gap by designing reskilling programs and organizational change strategies, enabling smoother human-AI collaboration.

Bughin et al. (2019) report that AI adoption rates differ widely across regions, with advanced economies focusing on high-value applications while emerging markets prioritize basic automation. This divergence creates varied consultancy demands: from advanced solution design in mature markets to capability-building and infrastructure support in developing economies.

Bessen (2020) notes that the diffusion of AI technologies has measurable impacts on productivity and GDP growth, but the benefits are unevenly distributed across firms and sectors. Consultancies can help democratize AI access by supporting small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which often lack the expertise to deploy AI independently.

Accenture Research (2021) projects that consultancies will increasingly transition from implementers to ecosystem orchestrators, coordinating cross-functional collaborations between technology providers, regulators, and enterprises. This shift positions BSP consultancies as strategic advisors capable of shaping not only business outcomes but also industry standards and policy frameworks.

Main Research

This study aims to critically analyze and evaluate the global scope, demand, and strategic role of Business Solution Provider (BSP) consultancies in facilitating the adoption and integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) across diverse industries and regions. With AI rapidly transforming business landscapes, BSP consultancies are increasingly essential in bridging technological innovation, regulatory compliance, and organizational readiness. The objective further emphasizes understanding how consultancies address challenges such as skill shortages, ethical considerations, and cost barriers, while also identifying growth opportunities in emerging and developed markets alike (European Commission, 2023; White House, 2023).

Objective

1. To explore the evolving business models of AI consulting, with a focus on innovation-driven approaches such as AI-as-a-Service, managed AI ecosystems, and platform-based advisory.
2. To investigate how emerging consulting models, including AIaaS and hybrid service delivery, will redefine the strategic role of BSP consultancies in global markets.
3. To project the trajectory of AI consultancy evolution by examining shifts towards scalable, subscription-based, and ecosystem-driven service frameworks.
4. To evaluate the sustainability and competitiveness of next-generation consulting models—AI-as-a-Service, platform-enabled consulting, and data-driven advisory services.
5. To analyze how disruptive technologies and client expectations are shaping new AI consulting paradigms, including managed AI services and cloud-integrated solutions.

Research Gap

Existing research on Artificial Intelligence (AI) adoption remains fragmented, often limited to specific industries or regional contexts, with little emphasis on global consultancy needs. The impact of generative AI tools such as ChatGPT, Gemini, and Claude on consulting practices is underexplored, despite their increasing role in business operations. Furthermore, there is a lack of quantitative evidence assessing the effectiveness, ROI, and long-term value of BSP-led AI initiatives, particularly in SMEs and public sector applications. Research on AI-as-a-Service (AIaaS) and the emergence of governance-oriented consulting remains scarce. This study addresses these critical gaps with a comprehensive global perspective.

Sampling Design

To understand the global scope of AI-focused business solution consultancies, this study will adopt a multi-stage, stratified sampling technique with both qualitative and quantitative dimensions.1.1 Population: The target population includes: Executives and consultants working in AIfocused or digital transformation consultancies. Business leaders (CEOs, CIOs, CTOs, etc.) from firms that have adopted or are planning to adopt AI. Industry experts, academic researchers, and policy advisors specializing in AI and digital business models.

Table:1.1 Research Sampling

Category	Sampling Basis / Source	Expected Sample Size
AI & Tech Consultancies	Registered firms from Crunchbase, LinkedIn, Clutch.co	80
Survey-Based Firms	Companies listed in AI adoption surveys (Gartner, PwC, IBM)	60
Public Sector Organizations	Government departments, research agencies, AI policy bodies	30
Private Sector Organizations	Finance, healthcare, manufacturing, logistics, education sectors	30
Expert Interviews	Policymakers, consultancy leaders, AI specialists	20–30 (qualitative)
Total Respondents	Mixed sample across regions (North America, Europe, Asia-Pacific, Emerging Economies)	200 (survey) + 20–30 (interviews)

Research Methodology

This study employs a **mixed-methods research design** integrating quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews to explore the global role of business solution provider (BSP) consultancies in AI implementation. Primary data will be collected through structured questionnaires (Likert-scale items) from at least 200 executives and 20–30 expert interviews, while secondary data will be drawn from industry reports, academic journals, policy documents, and government databases. Sampling methods include stratified sampling (by geography, industry, size) and purposive sampling (for experts). Data analysis involves **descriptive and inferential statistics** (SPSS) for quantitative data and **thematic analysis** (NVivo/manual coding) for qualitative insights.

Research Ethics and Participant Protection

All participants will provide voluntary consent prior to involvement in the study. Their responses will remain confidential, and anonymity will be strictly preserved throughout data handling and reporting. The research process will adhere to institutional ethical standards and align with international data protection regulations, including GDPR, wherever relevant.

Table:1.2 Pearson Correlation Matrix of AI Adoption Factors

Variable 1	Variable 2	Correlation Coefficient (r)	p-value	Significant
AI Readiness	Future Implementation	0.212	0.018	Yes
Skill Development	External Consulting	0.087	0.278	No
Consulting Expertise	Business Value Creation	0.165	0.032	Yes
Data Availability	AI Integration	0.243	0.011	Yes
Strategic Partnerships	Long-Term Adoption	0.094	0.241	No

Interpretation and Results

The Pearson correlation analysis highlights the interrelationships among significant factors influencing AI adoption. A positive and statistically significant relationship exists between AI readiness and future implementation ($r = 0.212$, $p = 0.018$), indicating that organizations better prepared with resources and strategy are more likely to pursue AI adoption. Similarly, data availability shows a strong association with AI integration ($r = 0.243$, $p = 0.011$), emphasizing the critical role of

accessible and high-quality data in enabling successful deployment. Consulting expertise and business value creation (r = 0.165, p = 0.032) also display a significant positive link, suggesting that external guidance enhances the perceived benefits of AI. Conversely, skill development and external consulting (r = 0.087, p = 0.278) and strategic partnerships with long-term adoption (r = 0.094, p = 0.241) show weaker, non-significant relationships. Overall, readiness, data, and expertise emerge as the most influential drivers of effective AI adoption.

Table:1.3 Regression Coefficients for Future Use of AI Consultancies

Variable	B	Std. Error	t-value	p-value	Significance
Constant	2.987	0.271	11.03	0.000	Yes
AI Readiness	0.221	0.062	3.56	0.001	Yes
Consulting Expertise	0.148	0.059	2.51	0.014	Yes
Data Availability	0.194	0.058	3.34	0.002	Yes
Skill Development	0.072	0.061	1.18	0.240	No
Strategic Partnerships	0.061	0.055	1.11	0.269	No

Interpretation and Results

The regression analysis in Table 1.3 identifies the key determinants of organizations' intention to continue using AI consultancies. AI Readiness (B = 0.221, p = 0.001)**,** Consulting Expertise (B = 0.148, p = 0.014)**,** and Data Availability (B = 0.194, p = 0.002) are statistically significant positive predictors, indicating that organizations with better preparedness, access to expert guidance, and reliable data are more likely to adopt and sustain AI consultancy engagement. Skill Development (B = 0.072, p = 0.240) and Strategic Partnerships (B = 0.061, p = 0.269) show positive but non-significant effects, suggesting that while they contribute to adoption, their impact may depend on organizational context. Overall, organizational readiness, consultancy quality, and data accessibility emerge as the most influential factors driving future use of AI consultancies.

Table:1.4 Summary of Significant Regression

Variable	Coefficient (B)	P-Value	Significance
AI Priority	+0.212	0.002	Yes
Skill Gap	–0.045	0.462	No
Consulting Expertise	+0.128	0.021	Yes
Generative Impact	+0.037	0.354	No
AIaaS Preference	+0.072	0.178	No

Interpretation

The table 1.4 show the results show that AI Priority (B = 0.212, p = 0.002) and Consulting Expertise (B = 0.128, p = 0.021) are significant predictors of organizations' intention to continue using AI consultancies. This suggests that organizations emphasizing AI initiatives and leveraging expert consultancy are more likely to sustain AI adoption. Skill Gap, Generative Impact, and AIaaS Preference remain non-significant, indicating these factors may influence adoption indirectly or in specific organizational contexts. Overall**,** organizational prioritization and external expertise are key drivers of continued engagement with AI consultancies.

Suggestions and Recommendations

1. **Enhance AI Readiness:** Invest in organizational infrastructure, strategy, and resources to support AI adoption.
2. **Engage Expert Consultancies:** Leverage high-quality BSP consultancies for guidance in AI implementation and strategy.
3. **Improve Data Management:** Ensure access to accurate, high-quality data to enable effective AI deployment.
4. **Workforce Skill Development:** Upskill employees to address AI-related skill gaps and improve adoption.
5. **Foster Strategic Partnerships:** Collaborate with technology providers, industry partners, and regulators for sustainable AI integration.
6. **Focus on Ethics and Governance:** Implement frameworks to ensure ethical, responsible, and compliant AI usage.

7. **Monitor ROI and Impact:** Regularly evaluate AI initiatives to measure benefits and refine strategies.
8. **Adopt a Phased Approach:** Begin with readiness assessment, then implement AI solutions, training, and continuous optimization.

Implications

1. **For Organizations:** Emphasizes the need to prioritize AI readiness, invest in infrastructure, and leverage expert consultancies to achieve successful AI adoption.
2. **For BSP Consultancies:** Highlights the growing demand for strategic, cross-functional AI services, including implementation, ethics guidance, and training programs.
3. **For Policy Makers:** Suggests the importance of supportive regulations and standards to promote responsible AI adoption across industries.
4. **For Workforce Development:** Underlines the necessity of upskilling employees to bridge AI-related skill gaps.
5. **For Future Research:** Encourages longitudinal studies to assess long-term impact of AI consultancies and generative AI tools on organizational performance.

Conclusion

The study explored the critical factors influencing the role of BSP consultancies in supporting AI adoption. The regression analysis highlighted that AI priority within organizations emerged as the only statistically significant predictor of successful AI integration, emphasizing the necessity for firms to align strategic priorities with technological transformation. Other variables, including skill gap, consulting expertise, generative impact, and AIaaS preference, did not show strong statistical significance, though consulting expertise showed a marginal effect, indicating its potential relevance with larger or more targeted datasets. These findings underscore that while organizations recognize the transformative potential of AI, adoption largely depends on leadership commitment and prioritization rather than external dependencies alone. The lack of significance in variables such as skill gap and AIaaS preference may suggest that organizations are still in early stages of adoption, where foundational strategy outweighs operational execution. The study also faces limitations, such as reliance on cross-sectional data, potential response biases, and limited generalizability across diverse industries. Nonetheless, it provides valuable insights for organizations, consultancies, and policymakers in fostering AI readiness. Strengthening AI alignment with business strategy, bridging workforce skill gaps, and enhancing consultancy expertise remain crucial steps in ensuring effective, sustainable, and ethical AI adoption.

References

1) *Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future. W. W. Norton & Company.*
2) *Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. Harvard Business Review, 96(1), 108–116.*
3) *Bughin, J., Seong, J., Manyika, J., Chui, M., & Joshi, R. (2018). Notes from the AI frontier: Modeling the impact of AI on the world economy. McKinsey Global Institute.*
4) *Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., & Williams, M. D. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. International Journal of Information Management, 57, 101994.*
5) *Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human-AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. Business Horizons, 61(4), 577–586.*
6) *Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2019). Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who's the fairest in the land? On the interpretations, illustrations, and implications of artificial intelligence. Business Horizons, 62(1), 15–25.*
7) *Makridakis, S. (2017). The forthcoming Artificial Intelligence (AI) revolution: Its impact on society and firms. Futures, 90, 46–60.*
8) *Marr, B. (2018). Artificial intelligence in practice: How 50 successful companies used AI and machine learning to solve problems. Wiley.*
9) *McKinsey & Company. (2021). The state of AI in 2021. McKinsey Global Survey.*
10) *OECD. (2021). OECD AI policy observatory. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.*
11) *PwC. (2018). AI predictions: 8 insights to shape business strategy. PricewaterhouseCoopers.*
12) *Rai, A., Constantinides, P., & Sarker, S. (2019). Next-generation digital platforms: Toward human–AI hybrids. MIS Quarterly, 43(1), iii–ix.*
13) *Shrestha, Y. R., Ben-Menahem, S. M., & Krogh, G. V. (2019). Organizational decision-making structures in the age of artificial intelligence. California Management Review, 61(4), 66–83.*
14) *West, D. M. (2018). The future of work: Robots, AI, and automation. Brookings Institution Press.*
15) *Wilson, H. J., & Daugherty, P. R. (2018). Human + machine: Reimagining work in the age of AI. Harvard Business Press.*